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Motivation of the Work

- Impressive growth in the number of diagnostic x-ray examinations

- Introduction of newer, very valuable imaging modalities and equipment

- Significant increase in the population’s cumulative exposure to ionizing radiation

- Demand for fast, accurate, patient-specific dose evaluation methods for diagnostic imaging

  Primary concern → high-dose modalities – fluoroscopy – CT
  - pediatric patients
Simulation Methodology for Distal Dose Computation
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PENTRAN and MCNP5 Simulations for Projected Radiography

- Volumetric source (17.5×3.5×30 cc) over the left side of the phantom chest
- 8 energy groups (10-90 keV)
- 30 coarse meshes (PENTRAN) divided uniformly into 189,600 fine meshes
- Equivalent volumetric mesh-tally (F4) tallies
  
  Tallies were equivalent to the discretized Sn volumes
PENTRAN and MCNP5 Simulations for Projected Radiography

Deterministic vs Monte Carlo results along the Z axis at Y=4.35 cm, X=13.0 cm (Fig.1) and at Y=16.6 cm, X=13.0 cm (Fig.2)
PENTRAN and MCNP5 Simulations for Projected Radiography

3-D, group 1, 3, 5, and 8 scalar flux distribution computed by PENTRAN with the cepxs cross section library; an S42 angular quadrature (1848 directions) with P3 scattering anisotropy.
MCNP5 Simulations for Helical CT

- x-ray source rotation
- patient table continuously moving

Position of the source on a helix

Edit the source subroutine file (source.f90) in MCNP5 code
MCNP5 Simulations for Helical CT

- position of the source particles randomly sampled over the helix

\[ z = \eta L + z_C \]

\[ x = r \sin \alpha + x_C \]
\[ y = r \cos \alpha + y_C \]
\[ z = l \alpha \]

\[ \alpha = \frac{\eta L - z_C}{l} \]

Pitch = \( \frac{l}{\text{beam width}} \)

L = length of the scan
l = table increment per 360 degrees rotation
r = source to isocentrum distance
MCNP5 Simulations for Helical CT

- direction of the particles randomly sampled within the fan beam
  Constraints – polar angle (less than half of beam angle)
  - beam width

\[ P = \frac{d\Omega}{\Delta\Omega_m} \]

\[ d\Omega = d\mu d\varphi \]

\[ \varphi = 2\pi\eta_2 \]

\[ \Delta\Omega_m = \int_{\cos\theta_m}^{1} d\mu \int_{0}^{2\pi} d\varphi = 2\pi(1 - \cos\theta_m) \]

Fundamental formulation of Monte Carlo

\[ \theta = \arccos[\eta_3(1 - \cos\theta_m) - 1] \]
MCNP5 Simulations for Helical CT

- energy sampled as a look-up table corresponding to the tube potential energy spectrum

- assign the code’s required variables
  - directional cosines
  - source cell
  - surface where the particle starts

- RDUM card in the input deck – z position of the scan start
  - scan length
  - beam width
  - pitch
  - scan radius
  - x, y coordinates of the isocenter
  - fan beam angle
  - source cell number
MCNP5 Simulations for Helical CT

Test model – box of air/water 40x20x40 cc centered in the scan field

Mid (x,z) plane

100 kVp
20 cm scan length
60 cm SID
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PENTRAN Simulations for Helical CT

- Collapsed phantom to 79X48X50 meshes
- 10 energy groups
- S32 (1088 directions)

- MCNP5 simulation to obtain a projected source onto the phantom -> source spatial distribution in PENTRAN
  - Void inside the box corresponding to the phantom
  - Fmesh tally in 4 rectangles surrounding the box; energy tallies corresponding to the Sn group structure
PENTRAN Simulations for Helical CT

Group 1

Group 5
Conclusions and Future Work

- Deterministic Sn calculations may be a convenient alternative to the Monte Carlo methods, especially for global dose distribution and doses to organs outside the radiation field.

- CT applications pose big challenges to deterministic calculations due to an adequate source representation.

- Need to validate the source representation (angular dependence of source distribution).

- Proper normalization of the source intensity based on clinical measurements.